

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,871 11/17/2003		11/17/2003	John M. Epley	J-EPLY.1002	5600
56703	7590	10/23/2006	EXAMINER		
ROBERT I 4915 SE 331		-	HOEKSTRA, JEFFREY GERBEN		
PORTLAND, OR 97202				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3736	

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/715,871	EPLEY, JOHN M.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jeffrey G. Hoekstra	3736				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 A	<u>ugust 2006</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	i)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 20-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat	tion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	* **					
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Inforr	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/715,871 Page 2

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Notice of Amendment

1. In response to the amendment filed on 08/17/2006, amended claim(s) 1, 6, and 7 is/are acknowledged. The following reiterated grounds of rejection are set forth:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-8 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Densert et al (US 6,159,171) as broadly as structurally claimed.
- 4. For claims 1, 7, and 20-23, Densert et al discloses a head stabilized medical device (1), comprising:
- a stabilized head-dependent wearable frame structure (2) as best seen in Figures 1
 and 2;
- plural different vestibular-parameter measuring/ modifying vestibular-parameter data delivering and data receiving devices (elements 19,20,28 and 30) anchored to said frame; and
- a communication structure (the wires as seen in Figures 1-2), for operatively connecting, transmitting and receiving, vestibular-relevant parameter data devices to a computer structure (29) (column 4 lines 49-52) capable of executing real-time

vestibular diagnosis/treatment algorithms with feedback responses (column 7 lines 3-6) effective to alter air-pressure stimuli.

- 5. For claims 2 and 8, Densert et al discloses air-pressure modifying vestibular measuring/modifying devices (column 1 lines 6-48).
- 6. For claims 3-6, Densert et al discloses a sound delivering device creating pressure waves through air removably insertable into the ear comprising a tubular body (24) with a tapered insertion bulb or nozzle (25) effective to sealably engage the ear, and capable of piercing the tympanic membrane, and having a digitally manipulated maneuverable enlargement region (17 and 18) connected to said body at a proximal location of the inserted end.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 08/17/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues Densert et al (a) teaches nothing whatsoever about vestibular function testing of a test subject, (b) does not teach feedback and control based upon patient response to stimuli, and (c) fails to teach any tympanic membrane-piercing member or fluid delivery therethrough.
- 8. In response to applicant's argument (a) that Densert teaches nothing whatsoever about vestibular function testing of a test subject, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the

Application/Control Number: 10/715,871 Page 4

Art Unit: 3736

claim. In addition, the examiner notes pressure and temperature are vestibular-relevant parameter data.

- 9. In response to applicant's argument (b) that Densert does not teach feedback and control based upon patient response to stimuli, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.
- 10. In response to applicant's argument (c) that Densert fails to teach any tympanic membrane-piercing member or fluid delivery therethrough, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lattner et al (US 6,314,324 B1) discloses structure for the measurement and modification of vestibular relevant parameter data.
- 12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/715,871 Page 5

Art Unit: 3736

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey G. Hoekstra whose telephone number is (571)272-7232. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max F. Hindenburg can be reached on (571)272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/715,871

Art Unit: 3736

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JH T

Page 6